

KCStat

kcstat.kcmo.org

February 7, 2017

#KCStat

Neighborhoods and Healthy Communities



Neighborhoods and Healthy Communities Goal

To support the development, maintenance, and revitalization of sustainable, stable, and healthy communities in which neighborhoods are safe and well maintained; people have access to health care services; strategies are in place to prevent injuries and illnesses; and the environment is protected.

Objectives

#	Objectives relating to Clean and Well Maintained Neighborhoods	Target Timeframe
1	Demolish, salvage, or rehab the City's current dangerous buildings inventory	2019
4	Enact state legislation to provide the City and local neighborhoods better control over the future of vacant properties	Ongoing
5	Strengthen blight reduction efforts through ordinance changes, collaborating with community partners, reducing illegal dumping and litter, promoting clean neighborhoods, and aggressively marketing Land Bank and KC Homesteading Authority properties	May 2018
8	Increase the waste diversion rate as recommended by the City's 2007 Climate Protection Plan through policies and programs that promote recycling and re-use	2021

#	Objectives relating to Community and Cultural Resources	Target Timeframe
6	Implement services, programs, and activities outlined in community centers' business plans that have been targeted to the specific needs of each community to enhance revenue and attendance	Ongoing
7	Develop a cultural mapping inventory to increase access to arts and cultural activities while promoting community development	2019


Objectives

#	Objectives relating to Healthy Community	Target Timeframe
2	Increase overall life expectancy and reduce health inequities in the zip codes with the lowest life expectancy: 64109, 64126, 64127, 64128, 64130, and 64132; and the additional zip codes with the least improvement in life expectancy: 64134, 64131, 64117, 64138, 64114, and 64133	2020
3	Implement the Community Health Improvement Plan (KC-CHIP)	Feb 2017
9	Identify and mitigate community health hazards by monitoring and responding to communicable diseases and environmental threats	Ongoing

Measures of Success

Measures of Success	FY15 Actual	FY16 Target	FY16 Actual	FY17 Target	FY18 Target
Percent reduction in dangerous building inventory	--	10%	2.8%	30%	45%
Percent of Land Bank approvals closed within 45 days	--	80%	43.4%	80%	80%
Percent of citizens satisfied with the city's efforts to encourage access to healthy eating/active living	--	--	43.4%	45%	47%
Percent of citizens satisfied with programs/activities at city community centers	48.3%	50%	46.1%	50%	50%
Percent of citizens satisfied with city's youth programs/activities	38.3%	50%	39.6%	50%	50%
Community Center cost recovery	28%	35%	30%	35%	35%
Percent of citizens satisfied with cleanliness of city streets and other public areas	50%	52%	43.1%	54%	54%
Trash tonnage collected	88,590	56,818	92,435	85,082	85,082

Dashboard Snapshot



Clean and Well Maintained Neighborhoods

Citizen Satisfaction metrics for Clean Neighborhoods

29% of citizens satisfied with the enforcement of litter/debris clean-up
Current as of Jun 2016

▶ **33%** of citizens satisfied with the enforcement of litter/debris clean-up
Jun 2018 Target



Needs Improvement

▼ Show chart

✘ Citizen Satisfaction with Enforcement of Mowing and Cutting of Weeds on Private Property.

27
Percent of citizens satisfied

[Explore the data >](#)

The goal is to increase citizen satisfaction with weed/mowing enforcement by at least 2% per year, to 31% by 2018.

✘ Citizen Satisfaction with the Cleanliness of City Streets

43
Percent of citizens satisfied

[Explore the data >](#)

The goal is to increase citizen satisfaction with the cleanliness of city streets by at least 2% per year, to 47% by 2018.


👁 Citizen Satisfaction with the Physical Appearance of Their Neighborhood

56
Percent of citizens satisfied

[Explore the data >](#)

Measuring Citizen Satisfaction with the Physical Appearance of Their Neighborhood in Percent of citizens satisfied through June 2018

Citizen Satisfaction With the Overall Appearance Of Their Neighborhood



Source: Citizen Survey, FY17 Mid-Year


Citizens' Priorities for Neighborhood Services

Service	Importance	Satisfaction	FY2017 Mid-year I-S	FY2016 I-S
Enforcing clean up of trash/debris on private prop	32%	32%	1	2
Demo of vacant structures	27%	21%	2	1
Mowing/Cutting of weeds	22%	29%	3	3
Exterior maintenance	16%	29%	4	4
Board up of vacant structures	13%	27%	5	5
Enforcing in your neighborhood	16%	41%	6	6
Animal shelter ops	11%	55%	7	7
Enforce animal code	7%	42%	8	8
Cust Srv animal control officers	3%	40%	9	9

Priorities for Neighborhood Services remain relatively stable year over year

**Demolish, salvage, or rehab
the City's current dangerous
buildings inventory**

Dangerous Building Inventory (Starting May 2016)



Demolition, Deconstruction and Full Employment Council

10 properties currently identified for deconstruction from Land Bank inventory

The department contracted with **the Full Employment Council (FEC) to train a minimum of 20 Kansas City, Missouri residents on demolition and deconstruction.** The program is designed to skill up a future workforce in the demolition and deconstruction sector.

- Participants must be 18 years of age or over
- Demolition/Deconstruction contractor hires and trains on the job site
- Up to eight weeks on training
- Participants earn while training
- Contractor is reimbursed up to 75 percent of participant's training wages based on city prevailing wage

The first training class begins in February 2017.

507 Privately Owned Dangerous Buildings

Each privately owned property was evaluated by inspection staff to triage it into one of three categories:

High Priority Demolitions

Those properties that have significant damage and should be torn down first

Approximately 12%

Regular Demolitions

Moderate damage but enough that warrants being torn down

Approximately 62%

Repair/ Receivership candidates

Minor damage or no recognizable damage that would warrant being demolished. *The properties are being evaluated by AHA, and/or Receivership if the owner does not bring the property up to code.*

Approximately 26%

**Enact state legislation to
provide the City and local
neighborhoods better
control over the future of
vacant properties.**

State Assembly Legislative Review - 2017

Receivership

- Changes to the receivership statute to add additional safeguards to ensure that the nuisance and vacancy on the subject property are abated
- Not heard by committees in 2016
- Seeking sponsor for introduction in 2017

LLC Registration (HB493)

- Requiring limited liability corporations to file an affidavit with the name and address of at least one person who has management control of the property
- Sponsored by Rep. Jack Bondon in 2017
- Testimony provided by City staff in late January.

Strengthen blight reduction efforts through ordinance changes, collaborating with community partners, reducing illegal dumping and litter, promoting clean neighborhoods, and aggressively marketing Land Bank and KC Homesteading Authority properties.

Strategies to Address Blight and Vacant Properties


Land Bank

Blight
Reduction
through
enforcement

Clean
Neighborhoods
and Illegal
Dumping

Community
Partnerships


Land Bank Inventory over time



Land Bank Sales Closed by Month

■ Properties Sold - - - - Monthly Target

Total Sales: 947



Source: Neighborhood and Housing Services, Land Bank
 (<https://data.kcmo.org/Property/Land-Bank-Properties-Sold/ymb5-2j8w>)

Land Bank Revenue and Investment

Value/ Investment	FY2014-15	FY2015-16	FY2016-17 YTD	Total since Land Bank inception
Revenue from sales	\$172,397	\$346,643	\$167,933	\$911,528
Promised investment by purchaser	\$1,566,495	\$4,098,672	\$3,976,648	\$10,277,186
Value of property donated by Land Bank for public use	\$224,899	\$86,637		\$834,451

FY2015 was first year of collecting taxes on Land Bank properties sold.

Source: Neighborhood and Housing Services, Land Bank

Land Bank Program Updates

Dollar Houses

- Closed on 32 – getting reports now (2 are finished)

Poplar trees

- First crop has been planted

Veteran's
community
project

- 4 acres of land
- Tiny houses for 40 or more veterans have been built

CfA Side Lots

- Selling vacant lots to adjacent owner for \$1; 30 sold

Demolishing

- Land Bank plans to demo over 200 structures

Partnerships

- UMKC, Code for KC, Missouri Western University; creating software systems for online applications; Clear Title, Meridian

Land Bank – Project Highlight


- 3200 Gillham Road commercial and apartment redevelopment
 - 30,000 square-foot building
 - Sold for \$70,000
 - Redevelopment commitment \$250,000



Homesteading Authority Development Opportunity


Homesteading Authority is a non-profit subdivision of the City which takes donations of mortgage foreclosed properties with the intention of returning them to owner-occupied residences.

- Large area of land near 75th and I- 435 presents excellent opportunity for development of a residential subdivision.



#HeartofKC Home Sale

- Land Bank of Kansas City's Valentine's Day Open House Tuesday, February 14 11 am to 1 pm
- Over 50 homes available
- \$999
- Neighborhoods include:
 - Ivanhoe Southeast
 - Oak Park SE
 - Blue Hills
 - South Townfork Creek



Strategies to Address Blight and Vacant Properties


Land Bank

Blight
Reduction
through
enforcement

Clean
Neighborhoods
and Illegal
Dumping

Community
Partnerships

NPD Code Enforcement Total Caseload



Timeframe for Initial Inspections


Target: 90% of initial inspections in 10 days

90% of initial inspections


50% of initial inspections

95% of initial inspections

80% of initial inspections




Timeframe to Reinspect



Compliance v. Enforcement

Closed voluntarily,
75.20%



2010 Thru January 2017

Compliance/Enforcement Matrix
(as of January 2017)

Total cases since 2010 =
171,813

		Open			
Voluntary	Enforcement	7,686	6,872	Closed	
		129,145	28,110		

Strategies to address blight and vacant properties

Land Bank

Blight
Reduction
through
enforcement

Clean
Neighborhoods
and Illegal
Dumping

Community
Partnerships


Northland Neighborhoods (NNI) Inspection Program Start Date: July 2016




NNI Current Participating Neighborhoods

Chaumiere


Winnwood Sunnybrook



NNI Neighborhoods Inspection Pilot Program




NNI Neighborhood Inspection Pilot Program Results



**Number of NNI Neighborhood
Properties that Received
Complaints between July 2016
and December 2016**

423

**Percent of NNI properties
with no violations found**



Love Thy Neighborhood and Municipal Court Fund



Love Thy Neighbor (October 2016-Present)

- Inquires (Including Phone Calls/Visits) 200
- Applicants currently in pipeline for program: 8
- Projects completed: 1

Municipal Court Fund (August 2016-Present)

- Inquires (Including Phone Calls/Visits) 700+
- Applicants currently in pipeline for program: 11
- Projects completed: 16

Neighborhood Accountability Board

Neighborhood Preservation has partnered with Center for Conflict Resolution(CCR) to establish three Housing Code Neighborhood Accountability Boards.

- The NABs are comprised of 3-5 members
- The focus is on neighbors working together
- 46 cases have been referred by the Action Center to CCR for conflict resolution
- Two NAB trainings have been held and 20 people have been trained to serve on NABs in the northeast area.
- Upcoming Presentations:
 - 2/20/17 Northeast area
 - 2/20/17 Presentation/Recruitment Washington Wheatley
 - 2/28/17 Presentation/Recruitment Vineyard Neighborhood Association

Interested in serving on a NAB, please contact CCR at (816) 461-8255

Strategies to address blight and vacant properties

Land Bank

Blight
Reduction
through
enforcement

Clean
Neighborhoods
and Illegal
Dumping


Community
Partnerships

Citizen Satisfaction With Illegal Dumping Clean-Up

Percent Of Citizens Satisfied With City Efforts To Clean Up
Illegal Dumping Sites




Tons of Illegal Dumping Cleaned



Source: Solid Waste Division (kcstat.kcmo.org)

Illegal Dumping Reports (Service Requests to 311)



Dumping on the Right of Way

Dumping in Alley

Solid Waste Referrals

Increase the waste diversion rate as recommended by the City's 2007 Climate Protection Plan through policies and programs that promote recycling and re-use

Climate Protection Plan, Solid Waste Plan and Defining Diverse Rate

Diversion - a term used to describe the act of diverting one or more designated materials from a solid waste stream. Diversion typically occurs at the point of generation. Normally, diversion is used to divert recyclables for separate collection, but it may also be used to prevent certain materials from being managed with the rest of a solid waste stream.

- Long-Term Solid Waste Management Strategic Plan, 2006

Climate Protection Plan Goal: 80% Diversion of Total Waste from Landfill

- Current working definition:
 - Total Waste (trash plus recycling) tons/tons going to landfill



Long-Term Solid Waste Strategic Plan


- Detailed **five** major City policy and over **25** ordinance suggested changes for managing the city's solid waste program
- **Major accomplishments** since Plan adoption:
 - Expanded **internal recycling** programs and encouraging employee reduction of waste
 - Environmentally preferable **purchasing**
 - Continuation of **curbside recycling**, divided between contract and city crews
 - Expanded **recycling drop-off** centers
 - Provision of **electronics recycling collection** through partnerships
 - **Appointment-based bulky** item collection
 - Solid Waste Division having primary responsibility for **illegal dumping abatement**

Private sector providing: Glass recycling and Commercial waste reuse

- Ripple Glass has helped remove 25% of metros glass from the waste stream

Total Tons (Trash plus Recycling)

Trash and Recycling



Diversion Rate



Further Progress Requires Investment


Strategies from Solid Waste plan that have not yet been implemented:

- Trash carts citywide
 - Estimated cost: \$7 million
- Mandatory recycling and enforcement
 - Costs would depend on whether “mandatory” includes businesses or just residents
- Waste to energy measures
 - Variety of options leads to variety of costs

Healthy Community

Increase overall life expectancy and reduce health inequities in the zip codes with the lowest life expectancy: 64109, 64126, 64127, 64128, 64130, and 64132; and the additional zip codes with the least improvement in life expectancy: 64134, 64131, 64117, 64138, 64114, and 64133

Life expectancy by zip code, Kansas City, MO 2010-2014



Life expectancy

- 70-72 years
- 73-79 years
- 80-83 years
- Too small pop.*


Indicates zip codes with the lowest increase in life expectancy over the last 10-15 years

Tale of Two Zip Codes Video:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eu7d0BMRt0o>


**Too small population to calculate life expectancy*
 @ 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates



Life expectancy in 1990-1994



Life expectancy in 2010-2014





Life expectancy


- <74.7
- 74.8-77.2
- 77.3-79.9
- 80+

Biggest and smallest increasing life expectancy (LE) between 1990-1994 vs. 2010-2014


- The biggest increasing life expectancy between 2010-2014 vs. 1990-1994 are zip codes 64106, 64112, 64118, and 64155.
- The smallest increasing life expectancy between 2010-2014 vs. 1990-1994 are zip codes 64123, 64129, 64137, and 64138.



Life Expectancies for Zip Code 64106




Life Expectancies for Zip Code 64123





- A qualitative assessment of break out session notes revealed the following:
 - Most departments have a clear understanding of the connection between their work and quality of life. The majority of attendees adeptly communicate the value of their departments in improving life expectancy.
 - Conversation in the breakout sessions alternated between addressing life expectancy and quality of life among employees primarily and addressing those same issues for all city residents.
 - The lines between employee vs. citizen interventions was often blurred; the following figure therefore includes interventions for these overlapping groups.

LifeX Pyramid of Themes



LifeX Follow Up Survey Results

- Some words respondents used to describe the day: “Fun, informative”, “an important first step”, “collaborative, eye-opening”
- The majority of respondents were already doing collaborative work, but 25% of respondents indicated that their cooperation with other departments *increased since LifeX*
- On average, respondents worked with ~3 other departments *they normally did not work with since LifeX*
- **100%** of respondents hoped that their interdepartmental cooperation would increase in the next year.
- Examples of LifeX projects: Process Improvement; Energy Efficiency; Bike Plan; Information Sharing

Implement the Community Health Improvement Plan (KC-CHIP).

Development and Implementation of the CHIP

Phase 1: Development



Phase 2: Implementation



Planning to unveil dashboard in summer 2017

CHIP Focus Areas

Infant Health

Birth Outcomes Monitoring Committee

- Developing a continuing medical education curriculum that incorporates Trauma-Informed into prenatal care, labor and delivery, birth and postpartum.
- Investigating issues relates to school-based sexual health (in partnership with the Access to Care committee)
- Developing and maintaining partnerships for infant health

Preventable Hospitalizations

Access to Care Committee


- Focusing on technological interventions to improve real-time communication across providers
- Reviewing legislation and executive action that may impact access to healthcare
- Developing community partnerships to facilitate resource sharing

Third-Grade Reading Level

Education Committee

- Developing a campaign to leverage the Raising of America documentary films to raise support for early childhood education
- Planning a KC Suspension Summit to address school-to-prison pipeline issues

Life expectancy by zip code, Kansas City, MO 2010-2014



Life expectancy



ZIP Grouping	Life expectancy (years)	Percent non-white	Percent below poverty*	Median family income*
Higher LE ZIPs	81-83 years	16.2%	5.8%	\$97,382
Middle LE ZIPs	73-79 years	34.7%	10.6%	\$59,701
Lower LE Zips	70-72 years	83.6%	37.5%	\$30,470

* 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Life expectancy (LE) with or without infant deaths in pink area, 2010-2014


Zip code	LE including infant deaths	LE without infant deaths	Difference
64109	71.6	72.9	1.3
64126	70.0	70.8	0.8
64127	70.2	71.0	0.8
64128	71.0	72.1	1.1
64130	71.0	71.5	0.5
64132	72.7	73.3	0.6

**Identify and mitigate
community health hazards
by monitoring and
responding to
communicable diseases
and environmental threats**

Relative Magnitude of Communicable Diseases Deaths


Number of deaths due to homicide* and infectious disease, KCMO, 1999 - 2015

Infectious Disease Homicide



* Victims who are KCMO residents only

Global Examples of Emerging and Re-Emerging Infectious Diseases



● Newly emerging
 ● Re-emerging/resurging
 ● "Deliberately emerging"



EPS


Historical Outbreaks of Communicable Disease

2000	• Shigella
2001	• Anthrax Bioterrorism
2002	• West Nile Virus
2003	• Monkey Pox, SARS
2004	• Avian Influenza (Bird Flu)
2005	• Shigella, Cholera
2006	• Mumps, Tuberculosis in daycares
2007	• Extensively Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis
2008	• Syphilis
2009	• H1N1 Influenza, Enterovirus 71
2010	• Shigella
2011	• Cryptosporidium
2012	• Pertussis, MERS-CoV
2013	• Syphilis, Chikungunya
2014	• Syphilis, Measles, Enterovirus D68
2015	• Syphilis, Shigella, Ebola
2016	• Syphilis, Zika, Mumps

Average # of Days between diagnosis to reporting

1 May 2016 - 31 Oct 2016

6 Month Period



Days from Diagnosis to Report Receipt of Communicable Disease (Monthly)
 Target
 Average

Average number of days from date of diagnosis to receiving the report at KCHD for all reportable communicable disease


Summary: overall, the average number of days from date of diagnosis to report receipt has decreased, but is remaining stable still higher than the target.

Acute Respiratory Distress Syndromes
 Influenza-Like Illnesses
 Unexplained Deaths
 Gastroenteritis
 Septic Shock
 Pneumonias
 Fevers
 Rashes

**Think
OUTBREAK!**

Unusual clusters or abnormal increases can be the initial cases of an outbreak or a bioterrorist event. When in doubt, REPORT to the Kansas City, Missouri Health Department.

Twenty-four hour telephone available at 717-6721



Percent of communicable disease reports requiring investigation that are investigated



Showing the percentage of high priority communicable disease investigations and STD investigations that are completed w/in 7 days and the percentage of all communicable disease reports requiring investigation that are investigated (including STD and HIV)

Summary: CDP has been able to complete a greater percentage of all the required CD investigations over the past few months than in previous months. This effect is seen most markedly in the non-STD category, which includes Campylobacter, Salmonella, Shigella, Hepatitis A, E.Coli/Shiga-toxin, Listeria, Pertussis, Legionella, Meningitis, and any other novel or highly communicable disease.

	VACCINE	TREATMENT	CURE
Ebola	NO	NO	NO
Measles		NO	NO
Pertussis			
HIV	NO		NO
Influenza - Seasonal			NO

Disease feedback loop


The Three C's of Measles during the prodromal stage:

- Conjunctivitis
- Coryza
- Cough

Also, during this time are Fever and Koplik spots (small spots with white or bluish-white centers on the buccal mucosa).

REMEMBER: The Measles virus is still viable for

Health Alert Network (HAN) Notifications by Calendar Year




Community and Cultural Resources


**Implement services
and other
recreational activities
outlined in
community centers'
business plans that
have been targeted
to the specific needs
of each community.**

Citizen Satisfaction with Community Center Programming


46 Percent of citizens satisfied with community center programming/activities
Current as of Jun 2016



The key measurement for this priority is the percent of citizens who are satisfied with the quality of community center programs and activities. The goal is to increase satisfaction to 50% by June 2018. [Explore the data](#)




Attendance at Community Centers



Attendance at Community Centers


CY2015

CY2016



Participation in Youth Activities Calendar Year 2016


2016 Youth Served



Revenue Growth

“Revenue growth is not about leaving behind any participants, just finding niches for those able to pay” - Terry Rynard, Deputy Director of Parks and Recreation

■ 2014 ■ 2015 ■ 2016 ■ 2017



Cost Recovery at Community Centers

	FY-2015 Actuals	FY-2016 Goals	FY-2016 Actuals	FY-2017 Goals	FY-2017 YTD
Brush Creek	18%	20%	17%	20%	18%
Hillcrest	20%	25%	24%	25%	24%
Gregg-Klice	25%	25%	31%	25%	23%
Line Creek	75%	70%	69%	70%	56%
Marlborough	8%	15%	8%	15%	8%
Tony Aguirre	18%	20%	20%	20%	11%
Garrison	2%	5%	4%	5%	5%
KC North	25%	25%	27%	25%	19%
Westport	17%	20%	17%	20%	17%
Southeast	33%	35%	33%	35%	31%
Total	28%	35%	30%	35%	24%

Community Partnerships

- Local charter schools for after school programming
- Orgs:
 - Girl Scouts
 - Golden Gloves
 - YMCA and Boys and Girls Club and Della Lamb for youth sports league activities
 - Cost savings and eliminates competition between orgs
 - Helps better meet the missions of each org for providing youth activities

Develop a cultural mapping inventory to increase access to arts and cultural activities while promoting community development



Cultural Asset Mapping Initiative

What are Cultural Assets?

Intangible	Cultural Spaces & Facilities	Cultural Heritage	Festivals & Events	Non-profit Organizations	Creative Individuals	Creative Industries
						

Why Map Them?

- To **CONNECT** individuals, neighborhoods, business and educational institutions to arts and culture to artistic, social, cultural, and educational programs in neighborhoods
- To **PROTECT** Kansas City's community cultural assets, build communities and grow the creative sector
- To **INFORM** planning and economic development strategies for sustainable growth, and
- To **CONTRIBUTE** to the vitality and sense of pride throughout the city.

Cultural Asset Mapping: Pilot

Pilot (Summer 2016)


Kansas City Catalytic Urban Redevelopment Initiative (KC-CUR)
Cultural Assets Inventory and Creative Placemaking Project

○ Partners:

- Local Initiative Support Corporation (LISC)
- Draw Architecture and Urban Design
- Michael Toombs, Artist
- Kansas City Museum

○ Report Findings

- Arts revitalizes places, inspires collaboration and enhances pride
- Cultural assets in clusters can serve as building blocks
- Arts-based engagement is central to a participatory process
- Training needed for arts-based community-based work



Creative Asset Mapping: Implementation

Next Steps:

- **Partners:** Community Capital Fund (CCF) + KC Code for America Brigade; ArtsKC
- **Tech:** Hack-a-thon (Feb)
- **Funding:** NEA grant (Feb)
- **Develop:** Data priorities and collection methods
- **Measures:**
 - ✓ Cultural Asset Index (SIA) (Social Impact of the Arts Project & Reinvestment Fund)
 - ✓ Economic Impact (EI)

The screenshot displays the Creative Asset Mapping web application. At the top, there are navigation buttons: MAP, VIEW ALL PROJECTS, ADD A PROJECT, FAQ, and ABOUT. Below these, the interface is divided into two main sections: 'Recently Added Projects' and 'Browse Projects'.

Recently Added Projects:

- Green Team Project** (3 months 2 weeks ago)
- Vineyard Cleanup Squad** (3 months 2 weeks ago)
- Turtle Hill Community Garden and Groves** (3 months 2 weeks ago)
- Park Celebration and Membership Drive** (3 months 2 weeks ago)
- SPENA Revitalizing the Community** (3 months 2 weeks ago)

Browse Projects:

This section includes a search bar and a dropdown menu for 'Neighborhood Name'. A 'Submit' button is located to the right of the search bar. A 'Go to List View' button is positioned in the top right corner of the map area.


The main map shows a detailed view of Kansas City, Missouri, with numerous colored pins indicating project locations. The pins are color-coded according to the 'Map Key':

- Blue Pin:** = Resource
- Orange Pin:** = Economic Development & Housing
- Light Blue Pin:** = Education, Arts & Culture
- Green Pin:** = Environment & Energy
- Yellow Pin:** = Public Health & Safety
- Red Pin:** = Capacity Building


The map also features standard navigation controls (zoom in/out, reset, layers) and a street grid. The map is powered by Leaflet, Bing, and Microsoft.

Measuring the Consumption of Arts Locally


Adults Attending a Live Performance Event



Adults Attending Museums



Share of Creative Businesses



Source: STAR Community Index (Local Arts Index and Bureau of Labor Statistics)

Questions?

Stay up to date on progress at kcstat.kcmo.org

#KCStat

